



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,566	08/29/2006	Robert C. Moschel	253443	3649

45733 7590 09/08/2009

LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD.
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900
180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE
CHICAGO, IL 60601-6731

EXAMINER

JABLE, CECILIA M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1624

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/08/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Chgpatent@leydig.com

Chgpatent1@leydig.com

<p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.2em;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Application No. 10/585,566 </td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Applicant(s) MOSCHEL ET AL. </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;"> Examiner Cecilia M. Jaisle </td> <td style="padding: 2px;"> Art Unit 1624 </td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/585,566	Applicant(s) MOSCHEL ET AL.	Examiner Cecilia M. Jaisle	Art Unit 1624
Application No. 10/585,566	Applicant(s) MOSCHEL ET AL.				
Examiner Cecilia M. Jaisle	Art Unit 1624				

All Participants:

(1) Cecilia M. Jaisle.

(2) Dr. Xavier Pillai, for Applicants.

Date of Interview: 1 September 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejection of claims 31, 32, 60 and 61 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph.

Claims discussed:

Claims 61 and 63

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Cecilia M. Jaisle/
Examiner, Art Unit 1624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner stated that the outstanding Office Action of July 20, 2009 is a Non-Final Rejection.

The examiner also stated that the rejection of Claim 61 was in error. Claim 61 should have been indicated to be allowed. Claims 63 should have been indicated to be rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph.

"With those clarifications, Dr. Pillai will prepare and file a timely response.